ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORA..... TREVELVE U 1 Arizona Corporation Commission 2 **COMMISSIONERS** DOCKETED 2003 807 31 P 2: 59 3 MARC SPITZER - Chairman OCT 3 1 2003 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL AL CORP COMP. JEFF HATCH-MILLER DOCUMENT CONTROL MIKE GLEASON DOCKETED BY KRISTIN K. MAYES 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-02-0867 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS SUN CITY WEST WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICTS. 12 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 13 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-02-0868 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS SUN CITY WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICTS. 17 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 18 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DOCKET NO. W-01303A-02-0869 19 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND 20 PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY 21 SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT AND ITS HAVASU WATER DISTRICT. 22 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 23 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-02-0870 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES STAFF'S STATEMENT OF AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY **OBJECTIONS TO ARIZONA-**SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT AMERICAN WATER COMPANY'S AND ITS ANTHEM WATER DISTRICT, ITS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY AGUA FRIA WATER DISTRICT, AND ITS ANTHEM/AGUA FRIA WASTEWATER 28 DISTRICT.

1	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
2	ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR
3	VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS
4	RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS TUBAC
5	WATER DISTRICT.
6	
7	
8	Staff is concurrently filing its surrebuttal
9	files this Statement of Objections to Arizona \
10	Arizona-American's witnesses offer "testimony"
11	and cases interpreting it. See Bourassa Rebut
12	established that expert testimony is not permitte
13	Pine Helicopters, Inc. v. Phoenix Aviation Mo
14	Christiansen v. City of Tulsa, 332 F.3d 1270
15	Longshoremen's Union Local #10, 966 F.2d 4
16	(1996).
17	Moreover, even if these legal matters w
18	American's witnesses are unqualified to offer
19	compound these errors by addressing legal mat

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-02-0908

STAFF'S STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS TO ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

Staff is concurrently filing its surrebuttal testimony in these consolidated rate cases. Staff also files this Statement of Objections to Arizona Water Company's Rebuttal Testimony. Several of Arizona-American's witnesses offer "testimony" as to the interpretation of the Arizona Constitution and cases interpreting it. See Bourassa Rebuttal at 9; Zepp Rebuttal at 28 and 30. It is well-established that expert testimony is not permitted as to matters of domestic law. See, e.g. Southern Pine Helicopters, Inc. v. Phoenix Aviation Managers, Inc., 320 F.3d 838, 841 (8th Cir. 2003); Christiansen v. City of Tulsa, 332 F.3d 1270, 1283 (10th Cir. 2003); Aguilar v. International Congshoremen's Union Local #10, 966 F.2d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 1992); 32 C.J.S. Evidence § 634 (1996)

Moreover, even if these legal matters were the proper subject of legal testimony, Arizona-American's witnesses are unqualified to offer expert testimony on legal subjects. Staff will not compound these errors by addressing legal matters in its surrebuttal testimony. Instead, Staff will rebut these legal arguments in Staff's briefs in this case. The Commission should give no weight to this improper and unqualified testimony.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 31st day of October 2003.

Timothy J. Sabo

Attorney, Legal Division

Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 542-3402

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 2	The original and twenty-one (21) copies of the foregoing were filed this 31 st day of October 2003 with:
3	Docket Control
4	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	Copies of the foregoing were mailed this 31 st day of October 2003 to:
7	
8	Norman D. James Jay L. Shapiro
9	Fennemore Craig 3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012
0	Attorneys for Arizona-American Water Company
11	Daniel Pozefsky
12	RUCO 1110 W. Washington, Suite 220
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
14	William P. Sullivan Paul R. Michaud
15	Martinez & Curtis 2712 North 7 th Street
	Phoenix, Arizona 85006
16	Attorney for the Town of Youngtown
17	Carlton G. Young 3203 W. Steinbeck Drive
18	Anthem, Arizona 85068-1540
19	Frank J. Grimmelmann
20	42441 N. Cross Timbers Court Anthem, Arizona 85086
21	Raymond E. Dare
22	Sun City Taxpayers Association 12611 N. 103 rd Avenue, Suite D
23	Sun City, Arizona 85351-3467
24	Walter W. Meek, Pres.
	AUIA 2100 N. Central Ave., Suite 210
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
26	John A. Buric
27	Warner Angle Hallam Jackson & Formanek PLC 3550 N. Central Ave., Suite 1500
28	Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorneys for Fiesta RV Resort Limited Partnership

 $S:\LEGAL\TSabo\02-0867$ NOF obj to AZ AM rebuttal.DOC

3

1	
2	Mr. David P. Stephenson Director of Rates and Revenues
3	American Water Works Service Co., Inc. 303 H Street, Suite 250
4	Chula Vista, California 91910
5	Kenneth C. Sundlof, Jr. Robert Taylor
6	Jennings Strouss & Salmon PLC
7	The Collier Center, Floor 11 201 E. Washington Street
8	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2385 Attorneys for Sun Health Corporation
9	
10	Viola P. Knis
11	Assistant to Timothy L'Sabo
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	;
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
li li	i